

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

Meeting of the Parish Council was held on Tuesday 7th December 2021

8.15pm Millennium Hall, Egerton

Present

Richard King (Chairman), Cllrs Tim Oliver, Pat Parr, John Lawton, Lois Tilden, Claire Foinette, Sonia Young (Clerk)

Borough Councillor: Ken Mullholland

One member of the public present

1) Apologies

Peter Rawlinson

Jeff Hopkins

2) Declarations of Interest

Chairman Richard King: declared no financial or business interest in any matters on this agenda nor in any land put forward for development in the Neighbourhood Plan or any land excluded from development in the Neighbourhood Plan process.

Cllr John Lawton: property owned in Harmers Way which is adjacent to land put forward for development.

Cllr Tim Oliver: a relation of his owns Gale Field which is proposed for development in the Neighbourhood Plan.

Cllr Pat Parr: neighbour to site proposed for the Older People's Accommodation at Orchard Nurseries.

Cllr Lois Tilden: declared no financial or business interest in any matters on this agenda nor in any land put forward for development in the Neighbourhood Plan or any land excluded from development in the Neighbourhood Plan process.

3) Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting on 2nd November 2021

The minutes were approved and signed as a true record of proceedings.

Proposed: Cllr John Lawton. **Seconded:** Cllr Tim Oliver. **All agreed. Abstained:** Claire Foinette. Not present at the 2 November meeting.

4) Matters Arising from 2nd November 2021

a) Sale of the Shop

Chairman Richard King reported on current interest in the shop. Plans for the ground floor retail premises have not been formed. It was noted that the new website for The George includes a 'coming soon' store section whereby people will be able to order and collect provisions via the pub.

Action: Chairman Richard King to talk to Community Stores Ltd, a group formed originally to save Egerton Stores and PO.

b) Post Office

Service levels remain inconsistent.

c) Heathlands Garden Settlement

Chairman Richard King read out the proposed submission from Egerton Parish Council to Maidstone Borough Council's Regulation 19 Submission Local Plan Consultation, in particular, objecting to the inclusion of the proposed Lenham Heathlands Garden Community Development (**See Appendix iii**). The submission was approved.

Proposed: Chairman Richard King. **Seconded:** Cllr John Lawton. **All in agreement.**

Action: the Clerk to send the letter to Maidstone Borough Council. A copy to be given to Borough Councillor Ken Mullholland for distribution at Ashford Borough Council

EPC thanked Cllr Pat Parr for submitting an article which was published in the Kentish Express. It highlighted the danger of Maidstone and Ashford Boroughs joining up along the A20 corridor as a direct result of the Heathlands Garden Settlement development.

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

d) Egerton Parish Council noted that South-East Water's work to replace the water main on Pluckley Road was ahead of schedule and the New Road/ Pluckley Road junction would be open from the beginning of December until the New Year.

e) The Clerk confirmed that a new Parish laptop had been purchased with the necessary software. The Clerk thanked resident Malcolm Laws for his help and assistance in that process.

5) Chairman closed the meeting for public discussion

Closed: 20.38pm

Opened: 20.55pm

6) Neighbourhood Plan

In the meeting EPC Chairman Richard King brought Agenda Item 8 forward to Item 6.

Egerton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (ENPSG) chair Jane Carr confirmed that the Examiner's report was received on November 15 and Ashford Borough Council had given the following outline timetable for next steps:

- Decision Statement by 20 December 2021
- Referendum on or before 14 February 2022
- Submission to Cabinet 24 February 2022
- Full Council adoption 3 March 2022

Jane Carr confirmed that the modified Plan will be presented to EPC at the January 2022 meeting and that the ENPSG was in the process both of revising the Plan in line with the Examiner's proposed modifications and of forming a strategy to engage village residents with the process. She emphasized the importance of voting in favour of the Plan to protect the character of the village and to avoid the unrestricted housing development that has occurred in villages without a Neighbourhood Plan.

Councillors made helpful suggestions on how best to promote and distribute the Plan.

7) Footpath Report No 139 (see Appendix i)

Footpath Warden Cllr Pat Parr said two news issues had been raised with KCC.

8) Highways Report No 28 (See Appendix ii)

Cllr John Lawton said that two issues reported to KCC had been resolved quickly: the hedge cutting at Field Mill Road and the removal of large rocks which had been placed in dangerous positions on the verge of the blind corner past Field Mill. He said he had thanked KCC on behalf of EPC.

Cllr Lawton reported that he had met with the KCC steward to review the structure of the ragstone wall opposite Stisted Way and was now waiting for their report.

9) Planning

Planning applications submitted to Ashford Borough Council this month for Egerton Parish Council to consider, details of which may be accessed on line at: http://www.ashford.gov.uk/online_planning/

Individuals may also register via the website with ABC to receive regular alerts of new applications and decisions.

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

21/01889AS	Egerton	<p>Watersheet Farm, Bedlam Lane TN27 9DA</p> <p>Prior Notification of change of use of two agricultural barns and land within their curtilage to one larger dwellinghouse and one small dwellinghouse with associated operational development.</p>
-------------------	---------	---

EPC view: The application is within the curtilage of a Grade II Listed farmhouse. The barn to the side of the farmhouse was converted for ancillary domestic use in 2014. This new proposal is in two parts – Barn 1 which is in part an open sided steel frame with corrugated roof sheeting (Atcost-type) and Barn 2 a much smaller brick building. They are both to the rear of the farmhouse. There are precedents for this type of application, but EPC is concerned that the setting of Grade II Listed properties is compromised by the development of modern housing in such close proximity, and which can give the impression of a commercial enterprise. However, EPC also recognises that ABC planning consider this type of development the new “norm” and not in keeping with the Parish Design Statement.

Proposed: Make comment that the proposal is not in keeping with the Parish Design Statement.

Proposed: Chairman Richard King. **Seconded:** Cllr Claire Foinette. **All in agreement.**

21/01885/AS	Egerton	Weald North Ward	<p>Rock Hill Farm, Rock Hill Road, TN27 9DP</p> <p>Upgrade to existing sewage system</p>
--------------------	---------	------------------	---

EPC view: The application is to install a Klargester sustainable sewerage system to replace an outdated system; this would be an environmental improvement.

Proposed: Support. Proposed system is a significant improvement.

Proposed: Cllr Lois Tilden. **Seconded:** Cllr John Lawton. **All in agreement.**

21/02019/AS	Egerton	Weald North Ward	<p>Sun Patch, The Street, TN27 9AL</p> <p>Proposed front dormer window and two rear dormer windows.</p>
--------------------	---------	------------------	--

EPC view: This application is in respect of the larger of the two new bungalows on this site.

Proposed: No objection. It is within the spirit of the Egerton Parish Design Statement.

Proposed: Cllr Lois Tilden. **Seconded:** Chairman Richard King. **All in agreement.**

21/01898/AS	Egerton	Weald North Ward	<p>Four Winds, New Road, TN27 9DT</p> <p>Proposed weatherboard exterior finish (revision to materials used under planning permission 21/00883/AS)</p>
--------------------	---------	------------------	--

EPC view: The original application was to paint the brickwork white, following the existing exterior. The building is not listed, and an old photo of the building shows it was originally unpainted brickwork. Weatherboarding would look superior to painted brickwork.

Proposed: Support. Blends with local style in keeping with the Egerton Parish Design Statement.

Proposed: Cllr Lois Tilden. **Seconded:** Chairman Richard King. **All in agreement.**

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

10) Councillor vacancy

Chairman Richard King proposed that Richard Ward – the only applicant - be co-opted to fill the vacancy for a councillor on Egerton Parish Council, to sit for remainder of the current term.

Proposed: Chairman Richard King. **Seconded:** Cllr Pat Par. **All in agreement.**

11) Orchard Nurseries – update

Chairman Richard King advised that the update was commercially sensitive and proposed to move discussion to closed session.

Proposed: Chairman Richard King. **Seconded:** Cllr Lois Tilden. **All in agreement.**

12) EPC AGAR Section 3 External Auditor's Report

proposed policy for approval: Public Participation in Parish Meetings

The external report from PKF Littlejohn was circulated to all councillors in advance of the meeting, The matters raised in the report were noted and appropriate action will be taken by The Clerk next year to submit the AGAR as needed.

The Public Participation policy was circulated in advance of the meeting and read and approved subject to the removal of one point.

Action: The Clerk to forward the Section 3 External Auditor's Report for upload to the EPC website.

13) Correspondence*

Note: All circulated by email in advance unless marked with a *.

KALC news. All. 02/11

Rural funding digest – Nov edition. All. 3/11

ABC in person planning meet. RK/LT/JC. 3/11

ABC Jubilee Green canopy project and future refuse collection news. All. 3/11

Carrs coaches – request for hedge cutting during SE Water mains works. All. 3/11

ABC 13 Oct Planning Committee minutes. All. 5/11

ABC lottery funding available. All. 5/11

Invitation to curry night with Ashford Mayor. All. 5/11

ARCK AGM notice 9 Dec. All. 5/11

Carrs coaches – update on SE Water's road diversion/ copy into message to Clancy Docwra. All. 9/11

KCC Salt delivery. All. 10/11

KALC Nov meet minutes including link to Community Voice Neighbourhood alert system. All. 10/11

KCC closure Dec Smarden Bell Lane. All. 10/11

KALC Kent Police Rural Taskforce Report and summer edition Rural Matters. All.10/11

KALC/LGA survey on abuse and intimidation of local councillors. All. 11/11

Egerton headteacher Julia Walker email response re removing ivy on perimeter stone wall. All. 13/11

ABC update on central regeneration project to include college and film studios. All. 15/11

KALC climate change conference presentation. All. 15/11

KCC notification of cyber-attack on the Cantium platform. RK/PR/JL. 15/11

NALC newsletter. All. 16/11

KCC winter plan. All. GH. 16/11

Minutes of the ABC Nov planning committee meeting. LT. 17/11

KALC community awards 2022. All. 17/11

KALC DEFRA consultation on groundwater and surface water Environment Permitting Regulations. All. 18/11

KALC – Govt webinar on councillor safety. All. 18/11

KALC climate change conference dec 15th – details. All. 18/11

KALC Bullying, harassment and intimidation of councillors and council staff. New project. All. 19/11

Local Govt bulletin – planning. LT/JC/RK. 19/11

Rural Kent Annual meeting date Dec 8th. All.19/11

ABC Christmas refuse collection calendar. All. 22/11

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

ABC Christmas tree recycling to support Pilgrims Hospice. All. 22/11
 SE Water 25-year Environmental plan consultation. All. 23/11
 KALC Govt bulletin including Nature for Climate tree funding. All. 30/11

Note

Website

September minutes

November agenda

14) Finance

Accounts

Expenditure		Cheque No	£
EPC HP laptop purchase	Reimburse S Young	BACS	449.99
Microsoft 11 software licence	Reimburse s young	BACS	79.99
Wicksteed	Replacement toddler swing seat No 2	BACS	162.76
Wealden Wheels	Annual donation	BACS	500.00
Tim Kent	Repair of skateboard ramp base	BACS	379.00
Sonia Young	Reimburse The Glebe/village Christmas tree	BACS	129.99
Sonia Young	Salary	BACS	752.47
HMRC	Salary	BACS	309.61
Total			2,763.81
Income			
Jim Stears	Peppercorn rent	Cash	10.00
Total			10.00

Bank Reconciliation Balance as at 30th November 2021 £15,740.41 less un-presented cheques as follows:

--	--	--

Actual balance = £15,740.41 at 30th November 2021

Accounts for Egerton update magazine

Expenditure		Cheque No	£
Alan	Distribution Nov edition	BACS	100.00
Big Print	Print and paper Nov edition	BACS	1650.00
Total			£1750.00

Income			

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

Total			
--------------	--	--	--

Bank Reconciliation Balance at 30th November 2021 £5012.70 Less un-presented cheques as follows:

--	--	--	--

Actual balance = £5012.70 at 30th November 2021

Accounts for Village Projects

Expenditure		Cheque No	£

Income			
Interest			0.17
Total			£0.17

Bank Reconciliation Balance at 30th November 2021 £20, 891.05 Less un-presented cheques as follows:

--	--	--	--

Actual balance = £20, 891.05 at 30th November 2021

Village Projects fund

Pre-school move	£11,288.65
Village Hall	£ 9,602.40
Total	£20,891.05

Accounts for Neighbourhood Plan

Expenditure		Cheque No	£

Income			

The accounts were approved.

Proposed: Cllr John Lawton. **Seconded:** Cllr Tim Oliver. **All in agreement.**

b) Proposed precept 2022-2023: £31, 076.97

Total budget 2021-2022: £35,898

Precept and grant 2021-2022: £24,294

The Clerk had circulated next year's proposed budget and precept set out by The Finance Committee (see Appendix iv) to all councillors in advance of the meeting.

Egerton Parish Council reviewed the proposed budget and precept and voted to accept and adopt it.

Proposed: Cllr Claire Foinette. **Seconded:** Cllr Pat Parr. **All in agreement.**

Action: The Clerk will submit the precept forms to Ashford Borough Council.

15) Any other business

- **Hedge Cutting.** The standard of the hedge cutting on New Road was reported as sub-standard by Cllr Pat Parr.

Action: Chairman Richard King and Highways representative Cllr John Lawton to inspect the work and report back to the January meeting.

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

- **Village website.** Chairman Richard King suggested that a working group, consisting of himself and the vice chairman, John Lawton and the editor of Egerton Update, should be set up to review the main village website.

Proposed: Chairman Richard King. **Seconded:** Cllr John Lawton. **All in agreement.**

Action: The Clerk to invite members to attend an exploratory meeting in January

- **Welcome packs.** One delivered at The Street
- **Complaints.** The Clerk advised full council that complaints made to Ashford Borough Council Monitoring Officer about five individual Egerton Parish Councillors by one individual were not found to have any merit. As such they did not warrant full investigation. This closes the matter.

16) Closed Session items

The Clerk read the confidential minute of the 2nd November closed session that related to an update from the Working Group for Older People's Accommodation and a financial matter.

The minutes were approved and signed as a true record of proceedings.

Proposed: Chairman Richard King. **Seconded:** Cllr John Lawton. **All in agreement.** Cllr Claire Foinette abstained; not present at the 2nd November meeting.

The confidential minutes were approved and signed as a true record of proceedings.

Confidential minutes were taken on this closed session, for approval in due course.

Meeting closed at 10.43 pm

Next meeting: Tuesday 4th January 2022.

Appendix (i)

Report no. 140 of the Egerton footpaths representative – December 2021 Meeting

5 *Outstanding Issues remain from the October 2021 report. Issues 3 and 4 have been updated since that report.*

0 Closed Issues

2 New Issues

The outstanding issues below are listed with the KCC Reference, the date reported, the footpath number, the location, the difficulty experienced and the status of action.

1. **PROW 210554009.** 24/05/21. AW76 opposite Kingsland Lane, Mundy Bois Road. Broken stile. Status: Still Awaiting Allocation
2. **PROW 210561834.** 24/05/21. AW79 Mundy Bois Road to Rockhill Road (opposite The Laurels). Broken stile (bottom plank flicks up when you step on it as both ends have come loose from the supporting posts). Status: Still Awaiting Allocation.
3. **PROW 210834144.** 15/08/21. AW90 Green Wickets Animal Sanctuary, Rockhill Road end. A resident reported that the wooden fingerpost is lying on the ground at the entrance of the property. The Clerk lives nearby and will check that it has been re-erected. Status: Work Scheduled.
4. **PROW 210959063.** 10/09/21. AW75 at Heronsdale, Wanden Lane. Egerton resident complaint of overgrowth (brambles) and fence panel leaning towards the footpath. Status: Awaiting Allocation.
5. **PROW210998966.** 20/09/21. AW76 opposite Kingsland Lane, Mundy Bois Road, broken stile. This was reported in July 2019 under ref PROW214250. In March 2020 KCC reported 'landowner action' and deleted it from their records. Status: Still Awaiting Allocation.

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

NEW ISSUES:

1. **PROW211126930.** 09/11/21. Part of AW87 to the west of the church behind the Glebeland houses where the path is quite narrow. Badgers have again been active and collapsed the side of the path about halfway along. Don and I temporarily blocked either end off, reported it and within 2 days KCC had come out and put an official block on it and posted notices around and about. Michael Ellis visited me to return the fencing stakes we had temporarily used and said that contractors will attend and assess what remedial action to take. Status: Work Scheduled.
2. **PROW211117086.** 21/11/21. AW64 Footpath opposite Egerton House on Greensand Way in a small, wooded area towards the byway up to Coach Road. Two planks missing/broken on the bridge over a small stream. The two photos below show the location and the current state of the bridge. Status: Allocated – Awaiting Inspection.



Appendix ii

Report no. 29 of the Egerton Highways Representative – December 2021

Summary

Closed Items	5
Open Items	7
New Items	0

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

Closed Issues

Reference	Description & Status
589015	Stonebridge Green Road - Blocked drain/gully
Logged	July 2021
Status	Works completed
60000501	Winter maintenance – salt bin empty – Bedlam Lane/Forstal Road
Logged	October 2021
Status	Works completed
610163	Rocks on verge on Field Mill Road opposite Woodside Bungalow
Logged	03/12/2021 – call to emergency line and followed up with email and pics
Status	KCC inspected, removed rocks and placed with reflector posts on the same day.
No reference	Road Repair – Barhams Mill Road
Logged	October 2021
Status	Works completed
	Hedges along Field Mill Road. Request for hedges along Field Mill Road to be cut back, urgently, given the increased volumes and nature of the traffic resulting from the Pluckley diversions. KCC highways noted the urgency and dealt with this under a 7-day work order.
Logged	November 2021
Status	Works Completed

Outstanding Issues

Reference	Description & Status
546693	Link Hill Lane - Drainage & Flooding, Blocked drain
Logged	17/01/2021
Status	Works being programmed
Update	No change
42003307	Rock Hill Road – Retaining Wall vegetation damage to grouting
Logged	May 2021
Status	Enquiry investigated and customer updated
Update	Site meeting held and issues explained. Engineer needs to attend to determine works required.
583038	Crocken Hill Road – Steps from highway to pavement overgrown
Logged	June 2021
Status	Work has been planned as part of regular schedule and will be undertaken shortly.
Update	No change

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

589193	Stonebridge Green Road - Blocked drain/gully
Logged	July 2021
Status	Works being programmed
Update	No change
589164	Mundy Bois Road nr Newland Green – Ditch Problems
Logged	July 2021
Status	Enquiry under investigation
Update	No change
598619	Forstal Road - Bus Stop Lines worn
Logged	Sept 2021
Status	Enquiry under investigation
Update	No change
11205485	Drainage & Flooding – Blocked Drain/Gully – Stonebridge Green Road
Logged	October 2021
Status	Enquiry attended – more work required

New Issues

Reference	Description & Status
	No new issues

Appendix iii)

Response to Maidstone Local Plan Review Submission Local Plan consultation (Regulation 19)

I am writing this letter on behalf of Egerton Parish Council as a representation to Maidstone Borough Council's **Regulation 19 Submission Local Plan** consultation. Egerton is a parish that shares a border with Maidstone Borough, and in particular with Lenham Heath, and is likely to be adversely affected to a significant degree by the proposed development there.

Egerton Parish Council is concerned that Maidstone Borough Council has not formally approached us concerning these proposals, particularly as they are planning such a major development adjacent to our parish boundary, at a time when we are in the final stages of publishing a Neighbourhood Plan for our parish.

Egerton Parish Council believes that the Maidstone Local Plan Review is unsound **and not legally compliant** because of the presence in the plan of **Policy No LPRSP4(A): Heathlands Garden Settlement**. The reasons for our representations are set out below.

Soundness

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

This Plan is not acceptable or justified because:

- A strong case with evidence is needed to demonstrate why a garden community is the right approach for large scale growth - socially, economically and environmentally. This has not been demonstrated since Maidstone BC has not:
 - engaged with parish councils, stakeholders and the community early in the process
 - understood the roles of different parties
 - spelled out a rationale why a garden community is a good option for growth in the area
- The choice of the two proposed sites in the Plan, which seeks to concentrate development in "garden settlements", has not been justified. The plan does not demonstrate that "garden settlements" are the correct spatial approach for the Borough. The evidence to justify why the Lenham Heath Heathlands site is an appropriate and proper location is inadequate and insufficient
- Although the Heathlands development achieves the lowest score against the objectives in MBC's Sustainability Appraisal, this appears to have been totally disregarded despite the proposed area for development being in such a sensitive location. It appears that this choice of site has been driven by political preference and expediency, placing this new settlement in a highly sensitive rural location at the extreme edge of the Borough.

The Plan is not sustainable or effective because:

- The Heathlands proposition cannot be considered viable and therefore is unlikely to attract the financial support and investment that it needs to be delivered. The case for it is based on assumptions rather than evidence.
- The proposed garden settlement is – like the second one proposed in the Plan at Lidsing – to be situated on the Borough border and the main "duty to cooperate" issues are still to be resolved. There have been no discussions regarding any potential effects or developments in infrastructure that would be required on the Ashford side of the border against which Heathlands will abut.
- The Submission Local Plan has been devised independently of most of the major infrastructure providers, including Kent County Council, National Highways, Network Rail, NHS organisations and South East Water / Southern Water. This proposed development may aim to deliver housing numbers, but it will result in homes simply being dropped in an isolated and severely compromised location.

The Plan is not consistent with national policy because:

- A strong business case should comprise consideration of the strategic, commercial, management, economic and financial case for a garden community. There is no evidence that the approach taken by MBC aligns with the 'five case' approach recommended by the UK Treasury for evaluating projects in which public sector expenditure is envisaged (The Green Book).
- It does not meet national planning policy because latest revisions to the NPPF focus on design quality, not only for sites individually but for places as a whole and the emphasis is on protecting and enhancing the environment and promoting a sustainable pattern of development. The Heathlands proposal is in a fundamentally unsustainable location, adjacent to, and highly visible from, the North Downs AONB and remote from major infrastructure and services, including hospitals and major retail centres, and most aspects of the plan are contrary to the principles of sustainable development.

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

- The NPPF acknowledges that members of the UN have agreed to pursue the 17 Global Goals for Sustainable Development in the period to 2030. The NPPF now sets out clearly that the environmental objective is to protect and enhance, and to improve biodiversity. There is no acknowledgment by MBC that this is recognised.
- *The NPPF also specifies that where larger scale developments such as new settlements or significant extensions to existing villages and towns form part of the strategy for the area, policies should be set within a vision that looks further ahead (at least 30 years), to take into account the likely timescale for delivery.* This is lacking in MBC's Plan.
- New paragraph 73 of the NPPF states that supply of large numbers of new homes should be supported by *a genuine choice of transport modes. The assumptions in MBC's proposals are not backed up by any evidence from Highways England or Railway track providers that there is any scope for a new motorway junction or railway station to serve the proposed development.*
- *The NPPF also places emphasis on making 'beautiful' and 'sustainable' places, and the use of plans, design policy, guidance and codes is hugely encouraged.* This area of Kent is already beautiful and building large numbers of houses on it would run counter to this policy.

Duty to Co-operate and Community Engagement

- Maidstone BC's claimed community engagement approach has been directly contrary to guiding principles of the NPPF. New garden community proposals are meant to be 'community-led'. Maidstone Borough Council is not a developer, and this has many implications most notably that it lacks the experience or community support for this scheme. Whilst MBC has undertaken some public consultation, it has failed to acknowledge or take into account the interests of local communities and the many and pertinent concerns they expressed. There has been no consultation with anyone in the neighbouring parish of Egerton which borders the proposed Heathlands site even though it will be severely affected by the development. Would MBC confirm if there has been any consultation with other parishes bordering and/or would be affected by the proposed Heathlands development area?
- Maidstone Borough Council's planning team and Ashford Borough Council and Kent County Council are under a duty to cooperate with each other, and with other bodies, on strategic matters that cross administrative boundaries. Strategic policy-making authorities should collaborate to identify the relevant strategic matters which they need to address in their plans. There is little evidence that this has happened.
- Maidstone Borough Council fails to establish compliance on the Duty to Co-operate requirement because the Statements of Common Ground (SoCG) for all but one of the statutory consultees have not been signed.
- The Kent County Council SoCG has wording around transport and air quality matters that clearly demonstrates that agreement has not yet been reached and is a fundamental omission on the Duty to Cooperate.
- The SoCGs appear to have been drafted by MBC officers and, until they are signed by the other relevant parties, do not demonstrate an accurate record of common ground between the various bodies, nor with the approval of their respective elected officers

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

Appendix iv)

Egerton Parish Council Budget 2022-23 and approved Precept

The financial sheet immediately below shows the approved budget for the 2022/23 year and as a comparison to three previous financial years.

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL BUDGET 2022-2023		Budget	Budget	Budget	budget
Expenditure:		2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Administra	Clerk's salary(incl NIC)	11395	11625	11943	13054
	Clerk cover	200	200	200	200
	Training	300	300	300	1000
	Telephone	50	50	50	50
	Travel	75	75	75	0
	NEW - Website etc.		200	200	300
	Stationery/printing/stamps	350	450	500	400
	Newsletter/welcome/publicity	50	50	65	150
	Insurance	750	700	1000	1000
	Equipment	100	100	100	100
	Publications	0	50	0	0
	Advertising	0	0	0	0
Property	Rent of Parish office	500	500	500	100
	Repairs	1400	200	300	0
	Parish facilities incl hall heating etc	150	150	150	150
Subscriptions/donations:					
S.137 don	Church clock/floodlighting	250	250	250	250
Other don	Wealden Wheels	500	500	500	500
	KALC	450	550	550	450
	Clerks& Councils direct	25	25	25	15
	ACRK	70	70	100	100
	CPRE	40	40	40	40
	Parish Magazine	0	0	0	0
	EPFA	500	0	0	0
	Unforeseen donations	500	500	500	250
Activities/e	Assembly etc.	100	100	100	150
	Neighbourhood plan	1000	1000	0	0
Land and highways:					
Mowing	Mowing/Strimming	3400	3500	3800	5500
	Strimming/hedges (A Hopkins)	200	200	200	200
	Glebe mowing and maintenance	400	50	50	50
	Tree surgery	1000	1500	3500	1000
	Plants	200	150	100	150
General m	Street cleaning/winter maintain	1500	1000	1000	750
	car park and footpaths	500	1000	0	3000
	Playground & Skatepark	2000	3000	0	0
	WWTW access road c/f	3000	3000	3000	0
	Contractors/materials	1000	1000	1000	0
	Defibrillator Upkeep	300	300	300	0
Profession	Audit Commission				
	Auditor	1000	800	1000	1250
	hon auditor	0	0	0	0
	Legal Fees (Halletts/disbursements)	0	0	0	1500
	VAT (to be reclaimed)	800	1000	1500	1500
	Other (Reclaimed VAT paid out to TC and GB)				
Contingen	Unforeseen items	3000	3000	3000	1500
TOTAL		37,055	37,185	35,898	34659

EGERTON PARISH COUNCIL

7th December 2021

Calculating the precept - 4						
	Estimated expenditure for next year				34,659	
	(Total from sheet 3)					
	Available cash/income carried forward					
	(Final total on sheet 1)				2146.91	
	Add:					
	Next year's estimated income (excluding precept)					
	(Final total on sheet 2)				1435.12	
	Total funds available (ex. Precept)				3582.03	3582.03
	Precept required to make up shortfall				31076.97	
	These calculations do not show the amounts held in Village Projects fund or					
	the funding of the Egerton Update					
	The precept requested for 2020-21 was £				24294	
	Precept requested is		28%	higher than the 2021-2022 precept		

The table above shows the precept required to meet the approved budget spend for 2022-23